

Calabi-Yau-threefolds with Picard number $\rho(X) = 2$ and their Kähler cone II

Marco Kühnel*
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Bayreuth
 D-95440 Bayreuth

February 8, 2008

Abstract

We prove the rationality of the Kähler cone and the positivity of $c_2(X)$, if X is a Calabi-Yau-threefold with $\rho(X) = 2$ and has an embedding into a $\mathbb{P}^n$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^m$ in the cases $(n, m) = (1, 3), (3, 1)$. The case $(n, m) = (2, 2)$ has been done in the first part of this paper. Moreover, if $(n, m) = (3, 1)$, we describe the 'other' contraction different from the projection.

1 Introduction

In this paper, a Calabi-Yau-threefold is a compact complex Kähler manifold of dimension three with $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

Wilson stated in 1994 [Wi94b] a conjecture about the rationality of the Kähler cone of a Calabi-Yau-threefold. It says that the Kähler cone of a Calabi-Yau-threefold X is rational and finitely generated in $N^1(X)$, if $c_2(X)$ is positive, i.e. $D \cdot c_2(X) > 0$ for every nef divisor D .

In [Kü01b] we dealt with the case $\rho(X) = 2$. First we proved some general results about the Kähler cone and then concentrated on the case that X was embedded in a $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^2$. For this class of Calabi-Yau-manifolds we confirmed Wilson's conjecture.

In this paper, we want to finish this track by considering X which are embedded in either a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^3$ or a $\mathbb{P}^3$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$. The first case offers some interesting perspectives. The Calabi-Yau-manifolds turn out to be generic double covers of $\mathbb{P}^3$ ramified over an octic. We compute the number of fibres of the bundle projection in X and describe the Kähler cone. The ramifying octics deserve a more detailed discussion in another paper.

Since $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, if $X \rightarrow C$ is a fibration onto a normal curve C and X a Calabi-Yau manifold, it is also natural to turn our attention to those X , which can be embedded in a $\mathbb{P}^3$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$.

We will denote $K(X)$ for the Kähler cone of X . The main results are

Theorem 1.1 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ be a Calabi-Yau-3-fold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E}$ either being a rank-2-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that $h^0(-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) > 1$ or an arbitrary rank-4-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then $\partial K(X)$ is rational and semiample. Furthermore all $D \in \overline{K(X)}$ satisfy $D \cdot c_2(X) > 0$.*

*The author acknowledges gratefully support by the DFG priority program 'Global Methods in Complex Geometry'.

In the case of $\mathcal{E}$ being a rank-4-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ we can give a complete classification of the occurring contractions.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) := Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-3-fold with $\rho(X) = 2$, where $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is of rank 4. Let us fix $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_3)$, with $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$ and let $\psi : X \longrightarrow X'$ be the second contraction. If E denotes the exceptional locus of ψ and $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O} \bigoplus_{i|a_i=0} \mathcal{O}(a_i)$ the maximal trivial subbundle of $\mathcal{E}$, then:*

- (i) *If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 3$, then $\text{rk}\mathcal{F} \leq 2$ and $E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{\text{rk}\mathcal{F}-1}$.*
- (ii) *If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 2$, then $\text{rk}\mathcal{F} \in \{2, 3\}$ und $E = X \cap \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y$, with $\dim Y = \text{rk}\mathcal{F} - 2$.*
 - (a) *If $\text{rk}\mathcal{F} = 2$, then Y consists of four points.*
 - (b) *If $\text{rk}\mathcal{F} = 3$, then Y is a smooth plane quartic.*
- (iii) *If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 1$, then Z is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^4$ in a linearly embedded $\mathbb{P}^2$; if $X \in |-K_Z|$ is general, then $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{16} C_i$, with $C_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$; furthermore, X' is a quintic in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with 16 double points on a linearly embedded $\mathbb{P}^2$.*
- (iv) *If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, then $Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ and $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{64} C_i$, with $C_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.*

For the proofs of these results we proceed like in [Kü01b] and prove first a generalization of a lemma of Kollár [Bo89]:

Theorem 1.3 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ be a Calabi-Yau-threifold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E}$ either being a rank-2-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^3$ or a rank-4-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then $K(X) = K(Z)|_X$.*

In contrast to the generalized Kollár Lemma in [Kü01b], the situation here is simpler, since there are no exceptions in the theorem above. However, in the case of $\mathcal{E}$ being a rank-2-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^3$ it has to be investigated, if π^*h is not ample. It turns out, that this is true, if $\rho(X) > 1$. So the proofs of the rationality result and the positivity of $c_2(X)$ get much shorter than those in [Kü01b]. In the case of $\mathcal{E}$ being a rank-4-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$, the rationality of the Kähler cone can even be proven without using the generalized Kollár Lemma.

This article grew out of the author's doctoral thesis at the University of Bayreuth.

2 Notation

In this section we summarize the most important notations of this paper. X will always denote a Calabi-Yau-threifold, while Z is a fourfold in every case.

$N^1(X)$	the $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of numerical classes of $\text{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$
$K(X)$	the open part of the Kähler cone of X , i.e. the ample cone
$W(X)$	the hypersurface $\{D^3 = 0\} \subset N^1(X)$
$\mathcal{O}_X(1)$	the restriction $\mathcal{O}_Z(1) _X$, where $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ is the tautological bundle associated to $Z = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$
K_Z	the canonical divisor of Z
$c_i(\mathcal{E})$	the i -th Chern class of a bundle $\mathcal{E}$
$c_i(M)$	the i -th Chern class of the tangent bundle of the complex manifold M

3 Some general statements

For a more detailed description of properties of the Kähler cone of a Calabi-Yau-threefold with $\rho(X) = 2$ we refer to [Kü01a, Kü01b]. At this place, we mention only results which are necessary for the later parts of this article.

The first fundamental theorem we want to cite is proved by Wilson in [Wi94a].

Theorem 3.1 (Wilson) *Let X be a Calabi-Yau-threefold. If $D \in \partial K(X)$ and $D^3 > 0$, then there is some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $rD \in \text{Pic}(X)$.*

Hence it is natural to consider the cubic hypersurface $W(X) := \{D \in N^1(X) \mid D^3 = 0\}$. A useful statement is

Lemma 3.2 *Let X be a Calabi-Yau-threefold with $\rho(X) = 2$. If $W(X)$ contains a double line, then $W(X)$ is rational.*

Proof: $W(X)$ is an appropriate affine neighbourhood of $\mathbb{P}(N^1(X)) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ given by some cubic polynomial $w \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let denote $Dw \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ the formal derivative of w . Then, if

$$w = (x - a)^2(x - b)$$

and $a \neq b$

$$(x - a) = \gcd(w, Dw) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

if $a = b$, then

$$(x - a)^2 = \gcd(w, Dw) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

In both cases, $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ follows. □

Putting both results together, we get in particular

Corollary 3.3 *If X is a Calabi-Yau-manifold with $\rho(X) = 2$ and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ a fibration, then $\partial K(X)$ is rational.*

Finally, we need a Lemma of Kollár, proved in [Bo89].

Lemma 3.4 (Kollár) *If Z is a Fano-4-fold and $X \in |-K_Z|$ a Calabi-Yau-manifold, then $i^* : N^1(Z) \rightarrow N^1(X)$ is an isomorphism and $K(X) = K(Z)|_X$.*

4 Calabi-Yau-threefolds in $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles over $\mathbb{P}^3$

We are interested in Calabi-Yau-threefolds X of the form $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$, $X \in |-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}|$, with $\mathcal{E}$ being a vectorbundle of rank 2 over $\mathbb{P}^3$. Let us denote $p : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ the bundle projection and $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ the restriction of p to X . The hyperplane class in $\mathbb{P}^2$ shall be denoted by h , the fibre of p by F . The expression $\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := (c_1^2(\mathcal{E}) - 4c_2(\mathcal{E})).h$ is invariant under $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E} \otimes L$, with L being a line bundle over $\mathbb{P}^2$. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)|_X$ will be called $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$.

The following sequences are basic for our proofs and results:

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{Z|\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow T_Z \longrightarrow p^*T_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow p^*(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \longrightarrow T_{Z|\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow T_Z|X \longrightarrow N_{X|Z} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(1)^2 - p^*c_1(\mathcal{E}).\mathcal{O}_Z(1) + p^*c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

By the Künneth formula we get $b_1(Z) = 0, b_2(Z) = 2, b_3(Z) = 0, b_4(Z) = 2$.

The intersection theory on Z is computed inductively by $\mathcal{O}_Z(1).p^*h^3 = 1$ and equation (4):

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be a rank-2-bundle and $Z := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. Then holds:*

- (i) $\mathcal{O}_Z(1).p^*h^3 = 1$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)^2.p^*h^2 = c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)^3.p^*h = c_1^2(\mathcal{E}).h - c_2(\mathcal{E})$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)^4 = c_1^3(\mathcal{E}) - 2c_1(\mathcal{E})c_2(\mathcal{E})$.

4.1 Intersection Product and Picard Number

By standard computations we get

Lemma 4.2 *Sei $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ eine Calabi-Yau-Mannigfaltigkeit, wobei $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ ein Rang-2-Vektorbündel ist. Dann gilt*

- (i) $c_3(X) = -8\gamma - 168$
- (ii) $\pi^*h.c_2(X) = 44$
- (iii) $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)|X.c_2(X) = 4\gamma + 22c_1(\mathcal{E}) + 24$
- (iv) $-K_Z|X.c_2(X) = 8\gamma + 224$
- (v) $\pi^*h^3 = 2$
- (vi) $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)|X.\pi^*h^2 = c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 + 4$
- (vii) $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)^2|X.\pi^*h = \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2(\mathcal{E}).h + 4c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2$
- (viii) $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)^3|X = \gamma + \frac{3}{4}\gamma c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 + 3c_1^2(\mathcal{E}).h + \frac{1}{4}c_1^3(\mathcal{E})$

Compare the following result about the Picard number to the corresponding theorem in [Kü01b].

Theorem 4.3 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. If $\mathcal{E}$ is stable and $H^1(-K_Z) = H^2(-K_Z) = 0$ (e.g. $-K_Z$ big and nef), then*

$$\rho(X) = 2 + h^2(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}).$$

Proof: We look at the sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{X|Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_Z|X \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_Z \otimes K_Z \longrightarrow \Omega_Z \longrightarrow \Omega_Z|X \longrightarrow 0. \quad (6)$$

First, we want to show $H^i(T_Z) = H^i(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})$ for $i > 1$. For this purpose we compute $R^i p_*(p^*(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1)) = \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes R^i p_* \mathcal{O}_Z(1) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Hence the Leray spectral sequence implies

$$H^i(p^*(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1)) = H^i(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}).$$

Sequence (2) shows, that $H^i(p^*(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1)) = H^i(T_{Z|\mathbb{P}^3})$ for $i > 0$, since $R^i p_* \mathcal{O}_Z = 0$ for $i > 0$ and therefore $H^i(\mathcal{O}_Z) = H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$ for $i > 0$. To apply sequence (1), we compute $R^i p_* p^* T_{\mathbb{P}^3} = T_{\mathbb{P}^3} \otimes R^i p_* \mathcal{O}_Z = 0$ for $i > 0$. Therefore we see again by the Leray spectral sequence

$$H^i(p^* T_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = H^i(T_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$$

for $i > 0$. This implies by sequence (1), that

$$H^i(T_{Z|\mathbb{P}^3}) = H^i(T_Z)$$

for $i > 1$. Hence

$$H^i(T_Z) = H^i(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})$$

for $i > 1$.

We now know

$$H^i(\Omega_Z \otimes K_Z) = H^{4-i}(T_Z)^\vee = H^{4-i}(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee$$

for $i < 3$. In particular,

$$H^1(\Omega_Z \otimes K_Z) = H^3(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee = H^0(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-4)) = 0,$$

with the last equality holding since $\mathcal{E}$ is stable and therefore simple. From $b_3(Z) = 0$ follows $H^{2,1}(Z) = 0$. Since $N_{X|Z}^\vee = K_Z|X$ the cohomology sequences of (6) and (5) contain

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_Z) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_Z|X) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \quad (7)$$

resp.

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(K_Z|X) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_Z|X) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_X) \longrightarrow H^2(K_Z|X). \quad (8)$$

By the assumption $H^1(-K_Z) = H^2(-K_Z) = 0$ we conclude by

$$0 \longrightarrow 2K_Z \longrightarrow K_Z \longrightarrow K_Z|X \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(K_Z) &= H^3(\mathcal{O}_Z)^\vee = 0 \\ H^2(K_Z) &= H^2(\mathcal{O}_Z)^\vee = 0 \\ H^2(2K_Z) &= H^2(-K_Z)^\vee = 0 \\ H^3(2K_Z) &= H^1(-K_Z)^\vee = 0, \end{aligned}$$

that

$$H^1(K_Z|X) = H^2(K_Z|X) = 0.$$

Therefore by (8) and (7)

$$\rho(X) = h^1(\Omega_Z|X) = \rho(Z) + h^2(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}).$$

□

Example 4.4 (A Calabi-Yau manifold with $\rho(X) = 1$) Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(4)$. Then we prove like in Thm 4.3, that

$$H^i(\Omega_Z \otimes K_Z) = H^{4-i}(T_Z)^\vee = H^{4-i}(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee.$$

But now

$$H^3(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee = H^0(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-4)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}(-8) \oplus 2\mathcal{O}(-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{C}.$$

The cohomology sequence of (5) starts

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(N_{X|Z}^\vee) \longrightarrow H^0(\Omega_Z|X) \longrightarrow H^0(\Omega_X).$$

Since $H^0(\Omega_X) = 0$ and $H^0(N_{X|Z}^\vee) = 0$, we see

$$H^0(\Omega_Z|X) = 0.$$

This we use in the cohomology sequence of (6) and get the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_Z) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_Z|X) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee \longrightarrow 0.$$

We know $H^2(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee = 0$. Since $-K_Z = \mathcal{O}_Z(2)$ is big and nef, $H^i(N_{X|Z}^\vee) = H^i(K_Z|X) = 0$ follows for $i = 1, 2$. By using the cohomology sequence of (5) we get

$$H^1(\Omega_X) = H^1(\Omega_Z|X).$$

This finally implies

$$\rho(X) = 1.$$

△

As a last subject in this section, we are interested in some bounds for γ . This yields a total lower bound for $c_3(X)$ of the here considered Calabi-Yau-threefolds, and, what is more important within this framework, allows us to compute the number of full fibres of p contained in X . The latter will be done in the next section.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be a rank-2-bundle. Denote its generic splitting type by (a, b) . Then*

$$\gamma(\mathcal{E}) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b)) = (a - b)^2.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b)$.

Proof: Let us denote $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b)$. By tensorizing with $\mathcal{O}(m)$ for $m \gg 0$, we may assume that $H^i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $i > 0$. For a general hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ we look at the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}|H \longrightarrow 0.$$

We see that

$$h^0(\mathcal{E}) \leq h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) + h^0(\mathcal{E}|H).$$

The same argument shows inductively

$$h^0(\mathcal{E}) \leq h^0(\mathcal{E}(-k)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} h^0(\mathcal{E}(-i)|H)$$

for all k and hence

$$h^0(\mathcal{E}) \leq \sum_{i \geq 0} h^0(\mathcal{E}(-i)|H).$$

We choose a general line $L \subset H$, and conclude by replacing $\mathbb{P}^3$ by H , that

$$h^0(\mathcal{E}(-i)|H) \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} h^0(\mathcal{E}(-i-j)|L).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\mathcal{E}) &\leq \sum_{0 \leq i, j} h^0(\mathcal{E}(-i-j)|L) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq a, i+j \leq a} a+1-i-j + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq b, i+j \leq b} b+1-i-j. \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^a \binom{a+2-j}{2} + \sum_{j=0}^b \binom{b+2-j}{2} \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{a+2} \binom{j}{2} + \sum_{j=2}^{b+2} \binom{j}{2} \\ &= \binom{a+3}{3} + \binom{b+3}{3} \\ &= h^0(\mathcal{E}'). \end{aligned}$$

By assumption we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = h^0(\mathcal{E}) \leq h^0(\mathcal{E}') = \chi(\mathcal{E}').$$

Using Riemann-Roch, this inequality transforms to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{8}\gamma(c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 + 4) + \frac{1}{24}c_1^3(\mathcal{E}) + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2(\mathcal{E}).h + \frac{11}{6}c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 + 2 &\leq \\ \frac{1}{8}\gamma(c_1(\mathcal{E}').h^2 + 4) + \frac{1}{24}c_1^3(\mathcal{E}') + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2(\mathcal{E}').h + \frac{11}{6}c_1(\mathcal{E}').h^2 + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since by assumption $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = c_1(\mathcal{E}')$ and $c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 > 0$, this implies

$$\gamma(\mathcal{E}) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{E}').$$

Equality holds if and only if all connecting homomorphisms

$$H^0(\mathcal{E}(-i)|L) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{E}(-i-1)|H)$$

are the zero map, what means that

$$h^1(\mathcal{E}(-i-1)|H) \leq h^1(\mathcal{E}(-i)|H)$$

for all $i \geq 0$. This implies

$$H^1(\mathcal{E}(n)|H) = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

by the choice of m .

We conclude with Horrocks' splitting criterion, that $\mathcal{E}|H = \mathcal{O}_H(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H(b)$. By [OSS88, p. 42] we know that $\mathcal{E}$ splits if and only if $\mathcal{E}|H$ splits for some hyperplane H . Hence

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b).$$

□

Theorem 4.6 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. For the generic splitting type (a, b) (with $a \leq b$) of $\mathcal{E}$ holds $b - a \leq 4$ and hence*

$$\gamma(\mathcal{E}) \leq 16,$$

or equivalently,

$$c_3(X) \geq -296.$$

Proof: Let $X = \{s = 0\}$, where $s \in H^0(-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})$, $L \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ a general line and

$$\mathcal{E}|L = \mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b),$$

with $a \leq b$. Then s induces a section

$$t = p_*s \in H^0(S^2\mathcal{E} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(4)).$$

We have

$$S^2\mathcal{E} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(4)|L \cong \mathcal{O}(a - b + 4) \oplus \mathcal{O}(4) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b - a + 4)$$

and by the general choice of L the intersection $X \cap p^*L$ can be assumed to be smooth. Let $d_{00} := a - b + 4$, $d_{01} := 4$, $d_{11} := b - a + 4$. We denote by $[x_0 : x_1]$ the coordinates of the fibres of p in a trivializing neighbourhood $p^{-1}(U)$, with $U \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. In this neighbourhood we can express $s|p^*L$ as

$$s|p^*L = \sum s_{ij}x_ix_j,$$

with $s_{ij} \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(d_{ij}))|U$. If $b - a > 4$, then $H^0(\mathcal{O}(d_{00})) = 0$ and hence $s_{00} = 0$. Therefore

$$s|p^*L = x_1(s_{01}x_0 + s_{11}x_1)$$

is reducible and therefore $X \cap p^*L$ is singular along $S := \{x_1 = 0\} \cap \{s_{01}x_0 + s_{11}x_1 = 0\} = \{x_1 = 0\} \cap \{s_{01} = 0\}$. Since $s_{01} \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(4))$, we conclude $S \neq \emptyset$. Hence this case does not occur and therefore $b - a \leq 4$.

By applying Lemma 4.5 we conclude finally

$$\gamma(\mathcal{E}) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(b - a)) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(4)) = 16.$$

□

4.2 The discriminant map

To be able to compute $K(X)$ we need information about the morphism $\pi : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, which is the natural projection.

Construction 4.7 Let $X = \{s = 0\}$, with $s \in H^0(-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})$ and

$$V := \{p \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid \pi \text{ is locally in } p \text{ not an étale covering}\}.$$

We define the discriminant

$$\Delta_{(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})} : S^2 \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow (\det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\otimes 2}$$

by

$$\Delta_{(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2} c_{ij} s_i s_j \otimes f \right) := (c_{12}^2 - 4c_{11}c_{22})(s_1 \wedge s_2 \otimes f)^{\otimes 2},$$

where s_1, s_2 is a $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -basis of $\mathcal{E}(U)$, $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ a line bundle and $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ a generator of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ for a small open set $U \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.

It is an easy computation that this definition is independent of the chosen bases.

Now we specify

$$\mathcal{F} = \det \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(4).$$

Then the discriminant is a map

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} : p_*(-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(8),$$

with

$$\{\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(p_*s) = 0\} = V$$

set theoretically: in local coordinates

$$s = \sum s_{ij} x_i x_j,$$

where $[x_0 : x_1]$ denotes the coordinates of the fibre, V is the locus, where the zeroes of

$$\sum s_{ij}(z) x_i x_j = 0$$

are not two distinct points. By definition this is the discriminant locus of the quadratic equation in x_0, x_1 , given by

$$s_{01}^2 - 4s_{00}s_{11} = 0.$$

This coincides with the discriminant locus of p_*s .

Since on a trivializing neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ the map is given by

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(t)|_U = t_{12}^2 - 4t_{11}t_{22},$$

if $t \in H^0(p_*(-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}))$ and $t|_U = (t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{22})$, we see, that, in particular, $H^0(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a holomorphic map.

Moreover,

$$H^0(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}})(rt) = r^2 H^0(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}})(t)$$

for $r \in \mathbb{C}, t \in H^0(-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})$. Hence we can projectivize, but cannot exclude that $H^0(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}})(s') = 0$ for some $s' \neq 0$. Therefore we get a rational map

$$\delta_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbb{P}(H^0(-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})) \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}(8))) \cong \mathbb{P}^{164}.$$

Let for the moment $V' := \{z \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid H^0(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}})(s)(z) = 0\}$ in the sense of ideals. If we denote

$$P := \{z \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid \dim \pi^{-1}(z) = 1\},$$

then we see, that

$$P = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid \sum s_{ij}x_i x_j = 0 \text{ für alle } [x_0 : x_1]\}$$

and hence

$$P = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid s_{00}(z) = s_{01}(z) = s_{11}(z) = 0\} \subset \text{Sing}(V').$$

Moreover, this shows

$$P = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid \pi^{-1}(z) \cong \mathbb{P}^1\}.$$

Now let $z \in \text{Sing}(V')$. If $s_{00}(z) = s_{01}(z) = s_{11}(z) = 0$, then $z \in P$. So let us assume $s_{00}(z) \neq 0$ or $s_{01}(z) \neq 0$. Let us define

$$x := [s_{01}(z) : -2s_{00}(z)] \in p^{-1}(z).$$

Since $z \in V$, we get that $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)(z) = s_{01}(z)^2 - 4s_{00}(z)s_{11}(z) = 0$. Therefore

$$s(x) = s_{00}(z)s_{01}(z)^2 - 2s_{00}(z)s_{01}(z)^2 + 4s_{11}(z)s_{00}(z)^2 = -s_{00}(z)\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(s)(z) = 0,$$

hence $x \in X$.

We want to show that $x \in X$ is singular. For this we have to compute in the point x

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_0} = 2s_{00}x_0 + s_{01}x_1 = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_1} = s_{01}x_0 + 2s_{11}x_1 = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial z_i} = \frac{\partial s_{00}}{\partial z_i}x_0^2 + \frac{\partial s_{01}}{\partial z_i}x_0x_1 + \frac{\partial s_{11}}{\partial z_i}x_1^2 = 0 \quad (11)$$

and we know moreover, since $z \in \text{Sing}(V')$, that in the point z holds

$$s_{01}^2 - 4s_{00}s_{11} = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$2s_{01}\frac{\partial s_{01}}{\partial z_i} - 4s_{11}\frac{\partial s_{00}}{\partial z_i} - 4s_{00}\frac{\partial s_{11}}{\partial z_i} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Using the expression for x in (9), (10) and (11) we compute

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_0} = 2s_{00}s_{01} - 2s_{00}s_{01} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_1} = s_{01}^2 - 4s_{00}s_{11} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial s}{\partial z_i} &= \frac{\partial s_{00}}{\partial z_i}s_{01}^2 - 2\frac{\partial s_{01}}{\partial z_i}s_{00}s_{01} + 4\frac{\partial s_{11}}{\partial z_i}s_{00}^2 = \\ &= 4\frac{\partial s_{00}}{\partial z_i}s_{00}s_{11} - 2\frac{\partial s_{01}}{\partial z_i}s_{00}s_{01} + 4\frac{\partial s_{11}}{\partial z_i}s_{00}^2 = \\ &= -s_{00}(2s_{01}\frac{\partial s_{01}}{\partial z_i} - 4s_{11}\frac{\partial s_{00}}{\partial z_i} - 4s_{00}\frac{\partial s_{11}}{\partial z_i}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with the last equation using (12) as well as (13).

Thus we have proved that $x \in X$ is singular. But we assumed X to be smooth. Hence it is proven that $P = \text{Sing}(V')$. In particular, V' is reduced and therefore $V' = V$ in the sense of ideals.

Now we know $V = \delta_{\mathcal{E}}(X) \in |\mathcal{O}(8)|$ and

$$P = \text{Sing}(V).$$

If we assume $\rho(X) = 2$, we will see in the next section that in this case $P \neq \emptyset$. The image of $\delta_{\mathcal{E}}$ then is a subvariety of the singular octics in $\mathbb{P}^3$, in particular $\delta_{\mathcal{E}}$ is not surjective. $\triangle$

At this point we should mention the work of Clemens, Cynk and Szemberg [Cl83, CS99, Cy99]. The first article mentioned describes the construction of Calabi-Yau-threefolds as resolutions of double covers of $\mathbb{P}^3$ ramified over a given (singular) octic. The latter two papers are concerned with the euler number of such Calabi-Yau-threefolds. The track followed in the present paper reverses the direction, since we are given first the Calabi-Yau-threefold and then construct the octic. Hence our method can be used to construct octic hypersurfaces with many nodes. This will be done in another paper.

To prove now the finiteness of P we will fall back upon the bounds for γ proved in the last section.

Lemma 4.8 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau manifold, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. Furthermore let $P := \{p \in \mathbb{P}^3 | \pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^1\}$. Then $\dim P \leq 0$ holds true.*

Proof: Let us assume first $\dim P = 2$. Then $\dim \pi^*P = 3$ and since $\pi^*P \neq X$ we conclude, that X is reducible, hence not smooth.

If $\dim P = 1$, then $D := \pi^*P \in \text{Pic}(X)$ is an effective divisor, satisfying

$$D \cdot \pi^*h^2 = 0.$$

If we write

$$\mu D = \mathcal{O}_X(2) + k\pi^*h,$$

$\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D \cdot \pi^*h^2 \\ &= (\mathcal{O}_Z(2) + k\pi^*h) \cdot p^*h^2 \cdot (\mathcal{O}_Z(2) + (4 - c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot h^2)p^*h \\ &= 8 + 2k + 2c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot h^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu D = -K_Z - 8p^*h|_X.$$

But we know additionally

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \deg P \\ &= D \cdot \pi^*h \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu}(-K_Z - 8p^*h) \cdot \pi^*h \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \cdot (-K_Z) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu}(\gamma - 16) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

what is a contradiction. $\square$

This we now use to prove a result about the number of full fibres in X :

Theorem 4.9 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. X contains exactly $64 - 4\gamma$ fibres of p .*

Proof: Let $s \in H^0(-K_Z)$ be such that $X = \{s = 0\}$. This section s induces a section $t \in H^0(p_*(-K_Z))$. Let

$$P := \{t = 0\}.$$

Since by Lemma 4.8 holds $\dim P \leq 0$, we know

$$[P] = c_3(p_*(-K_Z)).$$

To compute $c_3(p_*(-K_Z))$ we make the usual ansatz

$$c(\mathcal{E}) = (1 + at)(1 + bt).$$

Then we can express

$$c(S^2\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(r)) = (1 + (2a + r)t)(1 + (a + b + r)t)(1 + (2b + r)t).$$

If we do the multiplications and replace again by Chern classes of $\mathcal{E}$, we get

$$c_3(S^2\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(r)) = 4c_2(\mathcal{E})c_1(\mathcal{E}) + 2r(c_1^2(\mathcal{E}).h + 2c_2(\mathcal{E}).h) + 3r^2c_1(\mathcal{E}) + r^3.$$

Setting $r = 4 - c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2$ finally leads to

$$c_3(p_*(-K_Z)) = 64 - 4\gamma.$$

□

4.3 The generalized Kollár Lemma

By Theorem 4.9 the only possible case, in which π^*h is ample, can be $\gamma = 16$. But Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 state, that in this case, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(4)$. We computed in Example 4.4 that then $\rho(X) = 1$. Hence we proved

Corollary 4.10 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. Assume that $\rho(X) = 2$. Then $\pi^*h \in \partial K(X)$.*

So we turn our attention to the 'other' side of the ample cone. The following arguments are similar to the corresponding section of [Kü01b].

Lemma 4.11 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. If $-K_Z$ is not nef, then $K(X) = K(Z)|X$.*

Proof: Let $D \in \text{Pic}(Z) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that $D|X$ is nef. Without loss of generality we may assume $D = \mathcal{O}_Z(2) + kp^*h, k \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let us denote $E := D + K_Z$. Then $E = lp^*h, l \in \mathbb{Q}$, hence E nef oder $-E$ nef. If $-E$ is nef, then $-K_Z$ is also nef, hence we get a contradiction. Therefore E is nef. Now let $C \subset Z$ be a curve. If $C \subset X$, then by assumption $D.C \geq 0$. But if $C \not\subset X$, then $-K_Z.C \geq 0$ since $X \in |-K_Z|$ and hence

$$D.C = (E - K_Z).C > 0.$$

This shows that D is nef.

□

The generalization of the Lemma of Kollár is possible in an unrestricted way:

Theorem 4.12 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. Then holds*

$$K(X) = K(Z)|X.$$

We divide the proof in several steps:

Lemma 4.13 *Let Z be a fourfold, such that $-K_Z$ is big and nef, but not ample. If $\Phi_{|-mK_Z|} : Z \longrightarrow Z'$ contracts only a finite number of curves, then these are smooth and rational.*

Proof: Let C be an irreducible curve, contracted by Φ . Since $-mK_Z = \Phi^*\mathcal{O}_{Z'}(1)$, also $-mK_{Z'} = \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(1)$ holds and Z' has only canonical singularities, in particular, they are rational. This tells us $R^1\Phi_*\mathcal{O}_Z = 0$ and by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

we conclude $R^1\Phi_*\mathcal{O}_C = 0$. By the Leray spectral sequence follows $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = 0$. Hence C is smooth and rational. $\square$

Lemma 4.14 *Let Z be a fourfold such that $-K_Z$ is big and nef, but not ample. Then the exceptional locus of $\Phi_{|-mK_Z|} : Z \longrightarrow Z'$ contains a two-dimensional component.*

Proof: Let us assume, Φ contracts only a finite number of curves. By Lemma 4.13 these are smooth and rational. Let C be such a curve. ABy the adjunction formula $K_Z.C = 0$ implies $c_1(N_{C|Z}) = c_1(K_C) = -2$. Now we compute

$$\chi(N_{C|Z}) = 3(1 - g(C)) + c_1(N_{C|Z}) = 1 > 0.$$

Therefore C deforms in Z , hence there is a surface contracted, contradicting the assumption. $\square$

Lemma 4.15 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. Then*

$$H^4(Z, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle \mathcal{O}_Z(1).p^*h, (p^*h)^2 \rangle.$$

Proof: By the Künneth formula $b_4(Z) = 2$.

To show that $v_1 := (p^*h)^2$ und $v_2 := \mathcal{O}_Z(1).p^*h$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$ -Basis for $H^4(Z, \mathbb{Z})$, it suffices to show that the matrix $A = (a_{ij}) = (v_i.v_j)$ is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}$. But by Lemma 4.1

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

what proves the Lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.16 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. Assume that $-K_Z$ is big and nef, but not ample. Then $\Phi_{|-mK_Z|}|X : X \longrightarrow X'$ is no isomorphism.*

Proof: Let $E \longrightarrow V$ be the exceptional locus of Φ . Since

$$kX = \phi^*H,$$

for a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since H is ample, H intersects with every positive dimensional component of V . This implies that $\phi|_X$ can be an isomorphism only if $\dim V = 0$.

So let us assume $\dim V = 0$. By Lemma 4.14 there is a surface $G \subset Z$, which gets contracted to a point by Φ . In particular, $-K_Z.G \equiv 0$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such, that

$$[G] = a\mathcal{O}_Z(1).p^*h + b(p^*h)^2.$$

Then $-K_Z.G.p^*h = 0$ and $-K_Z.G.\mathcal{O}_Z(1) = 0$ are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 + 4)a + 2b &= 0 \\ (c_1^2(\mathcal{E}).h - 2c_2(\mathcal{E}).h + 4c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2)a + (c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 + 4)b &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

what has a non-trivial solution only if

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} (c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 + 4) & 2 \\ (c_1^2(\mathcal{E}).h - 2c_2(\mathcal{E}).h + 4c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2) & (c_1(\mathcal{E}).h^2 + 4) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

This means exactly $\gamma = 16$. As argued above, this amounts to $\rho(X) = 1$, hence contradicts to our assumption. $\square$

Now we can give the proof of Theorem 4.12:

Proof: [of Theorem 4.12] We assume $K(X) \neq K(Z)|_X$. The Kollár lemma says that then $-K_Z$ is not ample. But by Corollary 4.10 the pullbacks p^*h and π^*h nef and not ample. So, if $K(X) \neq K(Z)|_X$, by Lemma 4.11 the divisor $-K_Z|_X$ has to be ample und $-K_Z$ has to be big and nef, but not ample. By

$$0 \longrightarrow -(m-1)K_Z \longrightarrow -mK_Z \longrightarrow -mK_Z|_X \longrightarrow 0$$

and $H^1(-(m-1)K_Z) = 0$ for $m > 0$ we see that

$$H^0(-mK_Z) \longrightarrow H^0(-mK_Z|_X)$$

is surjective, hence $\phi|_{-mK_Z|_X} = \phi|_{-mK_Z}|_X$. Since $-K_Z|_X$ is ample, this means that $\phi|_{-mK_Z}|_X$ is an isomorphism for $m \gg 0$. This contradicts to Lemma 4.16. $\square$

4.4 Rationality of $K(X)$ and Positivity of $c_2(X)$

Corollary 4.17 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. Then*

$$D.c_2(X) > 0 \text{ for all } D \in \overline{K(X)}.$$

Proof: If $-K_Z$ is not ample, then by Theorem 4.12 also $-K_Z|_X$ is not ample. Hence there is some non-negative $k \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that

$$D := -K_Z|_X + k\pi^*h \in \partial K(X).$$

By Lemma 4.2 holds

$$D.c_2(X) = -K_Z|_X.c_2(X) + k\pi^*h.c_2(X) \geq 56 + 44k > 0.$$

By Theorem 4.12 follows, that $\pi^*h \in \partial K(X)$ and by $\pi^*h.c_2(X) = 44$ the claim follows.

If $-K_Z$ is ample, the claim of the theorem has been proven by Oguiso and Peternell in [OP98]. $\square$

The rationality of the Kähler cone follows also easily under mild restrictions:

Theorem 4.18 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle satisfying $h^0(-K_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) > 1$. Then $\partial K(X)$ is rational.*

Proof: With respect to 4.12 it suffices to show, that $\partial K(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$ is rational. Denote like before $Z := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$.

We consider three cases: $-K_Z$ is ample, $-K_Z$ is nef, but not ample and finally, $-K_Z$ is not nef.

Let $-K_Z$ be ample. Then the cone theorem states the rationality of $\partial K(Z)$.

Now let $-K_Z$ be nef, but not ample. Then $-K_Z \in \partial K(X)$ and hence $\partial K(X)$ is rational.

Finally, let $-K_Z$ be not nef. By Theorem 4.12 holds

$$K(X) = K(Z)|X.$$

Since $h^0(-K_Z) > 1$ holds, $-K_Z|X$ is effective. By assumption also $-K_Z|X$ is not nef. Now we want to use the log-rationality theorem ([KMM87, Thm 4-1-1]), which states that

$$\sup\{r \in \mathbb{R} | H + r(K_X + \Delta) \in K(X)\} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

if $H \in \text{Pic}(X)$ is ample, $K_X + \Delta$ not nef and Δ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -Divisor, such that (X, Δ) has only weak log-terminal singularities. The latter property can be reached by choosing $\varepsilon\Delta$ instead of Δ for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Note that $K_X = 0$.

Because $-K_Z|X$ is not nef, we apply the log-rationality theorem for an arbitrary ample $H \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and $\Delta := \varepsilon(-K_Z|X)$ for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. In this way we get that $\partial K(X)$ is rational. $\square$

A result of Wilson [Wi94b, Facts A,B,C] says, that the rationality of $\partial K(X)$ und the positivity of $c_2(X)$ imply, that $\partial K(X)$ is semiample. Hence we get

Corollary 4.19 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ being a rank-2-bundle. If $\partial K(X)$ is rational, then there is a second contraction $X \longrightarrow X'$ (apart from the first Stein factor of π).*

5 Calabi-Yau-threefolds in $\mathbb{P}^3$ -bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$

According to Corollary 3.3, if X is a Calabi-Yau-threefold in a $\mathbb{P}^3$ -bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$ with $\rho(X) = 2$, the Kähler cone is rational. Moreover, with similar arguments as in the previous section, it can be proved, that $K(X) = K(Z)|X$.

We meet the convention, that $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is normalized in such a way, that

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(a_3)$$

with $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$ and $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ is the considered Calabi-Yau-manifold.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-threefold with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ a rank-4-bundle. Then $K(X) = K(Z)|X$.*

Proof: We consider $\mathcal{E}$ normalized as above. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \in \partial K(Z)$. The canonical bundle of Z is

$$-K_Z = \mathcal{O}_Z(4) + (2 - c_1(\mathcal{E}))p^*h$$

and $X \in |-K_Z|$.

If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) > 2$, then

$$-K_Z \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) < 0,$$

hence $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) \subset X$. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is also nef and not ample.

If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) < 2$, then $-K_Z$ is ample and we use the Lemma of Kollár (Lemma 3.4).

If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 2$, then $a_1 = 0$ follows and therefore $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$ deforms in Z . Since $-K_Z \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$, either there is a curve of the form $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$ lying in X or the surface $G := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O})$ has the property

$$X \cap G = \emptyset.$$

In the first case $K(X) = K(Z)|_X$ is proven.

In the second case we compute like in the previous section

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_Z(1).p^*h, \mathcal{O}_Z(1)^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = H^4(Z, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (14)$$

Again, we show this by setting $v_1 := \mathcal{O}_Z(1).p^*h, v_2 := \mathcal{O}_Z(1)^2$ and computing the matrix $A = (v_i v_j)_{ij}$:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & c_1(\mathcal{E}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, $A \in Gl(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and therefore claim (14) is proven.

Now we can write

$$[G] = kv_1 + lv_2.$$

With this we see

$$0 = -K_Z \cdot G.p^*h = 4l$$

and hence

$$0 = -K_Z \cdot G \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z(1) = 4k + 4lc_1(\mathcal{E}) + (2 - c_1(\mathcal{E}))l = 4k,$$

therefore

$$[G] = 0,$$

what is a contradiction to the projectivity of Z . □

The intersection theory on X looks like follows:

- (i) $c_3(X) = -168$
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)|_X \cdot c_2(X) = 6c_1(\mathcal{E}) + 44, \pi^*h \cdot c_2(X) = 24$, hence $-K_Z|_X \cdot c_2(X) = 224$.
- (iii) $(-K_Z|_X)^3 = 512, (-K_Z|_X)^2 \cdot \pi^*h = 64$

With this, we already are able to prove the positivity of $c_2(X)$:

Theorem 5.2 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-threefold with $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ a rank-4-bundle normalized as above. Then*

$$D \cdot c_2(X) > 0$$

for all $D \in \partial K(X)$.

Proof: By the chosen normalization, $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ is nef and not ample, hence Theorem 5.1 shows that $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is also nef and not ample. Since $c_1(\mathcal{E}) \geq 0$, the above formulas imply $\mathcal{O}_X(1).c_2(X) > 0$ and $\pi^*h.c_2(X) > 0$. Hence the claim is proved. $\square$

Again we refer to

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{Z|\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow T_Z \longrightarrow p^*T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow p^*(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \longrightarrow T_{Z|\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (16)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow T_Z|X \longrightarrow N_{X|Z} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (17)$$

For the later description we compute the Picard number.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) =: Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-threefold with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ a rank-4-bundle normalized as above. Then*

$$\rho(X) = 2 + h^1(-K_Z).$$

In particular,

$$\rho(X) = 2 \iff c_1(\mathcal{E}) \leq 3.$$

Proof: We look at the two sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{X|Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_Z|X \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow 0 \quad (18)$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_Z \otimes K_Z \longrightarrow \Omega_Z \longrightarrow \Omega_Z|X \longrightarrow 0. \quad (19)$$

Our first aim is to show $H^i(T_Z) = H^i(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})$ for $i > 1$. For this purpose we calculate $R^ip_*(p^*(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1)) = \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes R^ip_*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Therefore the Leray spectral sequence yields

$$H^i(p^*(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1)) = H^i(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}).$$

Sequence (16) shows, that $H^i(p^*(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1)) = H^i(T_{Z|\mathbb{P}^2})$ for $i > 0$, since by $R^ip_*\mathcal{O}_Z = 0$ for $i > 0$ we get $H^i(\mathcal{O}_Z) = H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = 0$ for $i > 0$. For applying (15), we verify by the projection formula $R^ip_*p^*T_{\mathbb{P}^2} = 0$ for $i > 0$. Hence again the Leray spectral sequence implies

$$H^i(p^*T_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = H^i(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = 0$$

for $i > 0$. This implies with (15) now, that

$$H^i(T_{Z|\mathbb{P}^2}) = H^i(T_Z)$$

for $i > 1$. Therefore

$$H^i(T_Z) = H^i(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})$$

for $i > 1$.

So we see that

$$H^i(\Omega_Z \otimes K_Z) = H^{4-i}(T_Z)^\vee = H^{4-i}(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee$$

for $i < 3$. In particular,

$$H^1(\Omega_Z \otimes K_Z) = H^3(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})^\vee = 0.$$

Since $H^{2,1}(Z) = 0$, $H^2(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$ and $N_{X|Z}^\vee = K_Z|X$ the cohomology sequences of (18) and (19) contain

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_Z) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_Z|X) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (20)$$

resp.

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(K_Z|X) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_Z|X) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega_X) \longrightarrow H^2(K_Z|X). \quad (21)$$

The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow -K_Z \longrightarrow -K_Z|X \longrightarrow 0$$

and Serre duality imply

$$h^i(-K_Z) = h^i(-K_Z|X) = h^{3-i}(K_Z|X)$$

for $i > 0$. Since $R^i p_*(-K_Z) = 0$ for $i > 0$ we compute

$$h^2(-K_Z) = h^2(p_*(-K_Z)) = 0.$$

Considering the sequences (20) and (21) together with this vanishing yields

$$\rho(X) = 2 + h^2(K_Z|X) = 2 + h^1(-K_Z).$$

Since $R^1 p_*(-K_Z) = 0$, we compute further

$$h^1(-K_Z) = h^1(S^4 \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2 - c_1(\mathcal{E}))).$$

Hence the condition $\rho(X) = 2$ is equivalent to

$$2 - c_1(\mathcal{E}) \geq -1,$$

what proves the claim. $\square$

In the chosen normalization of $\mathcal{E}$ the divisor $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ is nef, but not ample. By $K(X) = K(Z)|X$ also $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is nef and not ample. The computation

$$\mathcal{O}_X(1)^3 = \mathcal{O}_Z(1)^3 \cdot (-K_Z) = 3c_1(\mathcal{E}) + 2 > 0$$

shows with the base point free theorem, that there is a birational contraction

$$\psi : X \longrightarrow X'.$$

This contraction is described as follows:

Theorem 5.4 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) := Z$ be a Calabi-Yau-manifold with $\rho(X) = 2$, with $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ being a rank-4-bundle normalized as above. Let $\psi : X \longrightarrow X'$ be the birational contraction and E its exceptional locus. Denote by $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O} \bigoplus_{i|a_i=0} \mathcal{O}(a_i)$ the maximal trivial subbundle of $\mathcal{E}$.*

- (i) *If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 3$, then $rk\mathcal{F} \leq 2$ and $E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{rk\mathcal{F}-1}$.*
- (ii) *If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 2$, then $rk\mathcal{F} \in \{2, 3\}$ and $E = X \cap \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y$, with $\dim Y = rk\mathcal{F} - 2$.*
 - (a) *If $rk\mathcal{F} = 2$, then Y consists of four points.*

(b) If $rk\mathcal{F} = 3$, then Y is a smooth plane quartic curve.

(iii) If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 1$, then Z is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^4$ in a linearly embedded $\mathbb{P}^2$; if $X \in |-K_Z|$ is chosen generally, then $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{16} C_i$, with $C_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$; in this case, X' is a quintic in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with 16 double points on a linearly embedded $\mathbb{P}^2$.

(iv) If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, then $Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ and $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{64} C_i$, with $C_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

Proof: $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized in such a way that $\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \in \partial K(Z)$. First, we show that all curves C , which satisfy $\mathcal{O}_Z(1).C = 0$, have the form $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$ for some quotient $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0$: If $\mathcal{O}_Z(1).C = 0$, then $s|C$ is constant for all $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(1))$. Writing

$$s = \sum s_i x_i,$$

with $[x_0 : \dots : x_3]$ being fibre coordinates, $[z_0 : z_1]$ coordinates of the base- $\mathbb{P}^1$, and $s_i \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(a_i))$, constancy of $s|C$ for all $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(1))$ implies, that

$$x_i = 0, \text{ if } a_i > 0 \text{ and } x_i = c_i, \text{ if } a_i = 0$$

for $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$. This proves the claim.

(i): In case $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 3$ we compute for $C = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$

$$-K_Z.C = (\mathcal{O}_Z(4) + (2 - c_1(\mathcal{E}))p^*h).C = 2 - c_1(\mathcal{E}) < 0,$$

hence $C \subset X$. Since every curve C satisfying $\mathcal{O}_Z(1).C = 0$ is of the form $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$, this implies

$$E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}).$$

The divisor E is also the exceptional locus of $\tilde{\psi} := \Phi_{|\mathcal{O}_Z(m)|} : Z \longrightarrow Z'$ and hence by Lemma 4.14 we know $\dim E \leq 2$. Therefore also $rk\mathcal{F} \leq 2$.

(ii): If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 2$, then for $C = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$

$$-K_Z.C = 0,$$

hence $C \subset X$ or $C \cap X = \emptyset$. Again follows

$$E = X \cap \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y.$$

Since $-K_Z = \mathcal{O}_Z(4)$, there is an ample hypersurface $V \subset Z'$, such that

$$kX = \tilde{\psi}^*V,$$

with $\tilde{\psi} : Z \longrightarrow Z'$ like above the birational map given by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_Z(m)|$. In particular,

$$\dim E = \dim \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) - 1 = rk\mathcal{F} - 1,$$

and hence

$$\dim Y = rk\mathcal{F} - 2.$$

If $rk\mathcal{F} = 2$, the number of contracted curves is computed as

$$Y.F = \mathcal{O}_Z(4).\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}).F = 4,$$

since every contracted is of the form $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$.

If $rk\mathcal{F} = 3$, i.e. $\mathcal{E} = 3\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$, then by [Gr97] Y is a smooth curve, since $\rho(X) = 2$ implies that every contraction is primitive. For a general fibre F if p the intersection $E \cap F$ is smooth ([Ha77, Corollary 10.9.1]) and

$$\psi|_{E \cap F} : E \cap F \longrightarrow Y$$

is an isomorphism, since $F \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) = 1$. Furthermore $E \cap F \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \cap F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ and we compute

$$\deg E \cap F = E \cdot F \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z(1) = -K_Z \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot F \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z(1) = 4,$$

because $-K_Z = \mathcal{O}_Z(4)$.

(iii): If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 1$, only $\mathcal{E} = 3\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ is possible and therefore Z is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^4$ in a linearly embedded $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let denote the blowup by $\phi : Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$. Like above the exceptional curves have the form $C = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$. Let E' be the exceptional divisor of the blowup. Then

$$-K_Z = \phi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(5) - E' = \mathcal{O}_Z(5) - E',$$

on the other hand

$$-K_Z = \mathcal{O}_Z(4) + p^*h,$$

hence

$$E' = \mathcal{O}_Z(1) - p^*h.$$

Denote $Y := X \cap E'$. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow -K_Z - E' \longrightarrow -K_Z \longrightarrow -K_Z|_{E'} \longrightarrow 0$$

implies by $H^1(-K_Z - E') = H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z(3) + 2p^*h) = 0$ (with Kodaira vanishing), that

$$H^0(-K_Z) \longrightarrow H^0(-K_Z|_{E'})$$

is surjective. Since $-K_Z|_{E'}$ is globally generated, we see, that by a general choice of X also Y can be assumed to be smooth.

Since $\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ and $p^*h \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) = 1$ we conclude $-K_Z \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) = 1$ and therefore

$$\phi|_Y : Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

is generically $1 : 1$. If $C = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) \subset Y$, then

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})} \longrightarrow T_Y|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})} \longrightarrow N_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})|Y} \longrightarrow 0,$$

$T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})} = \mathcal{O}(2)$ as well as

$$c_1(T_Y|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})}) = c_1(N_{Y|X}^\vee|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})}) = -E' \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) = (-\mathcal{O}_Z(1) + p^*h) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) = 1,$$

imply that

$$N_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})|Y} = \mathcal{O}(-1).$$

This shows that $\phi|_Y$ is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in k points. To compute k , we apply the canonical bundle formula,

$$K_Y^2 = (\phi|_Y^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)) - \sum_{i=1}^k E_i)^2 = 9 - k,$$

with E_i denoting the exceptional curves. We know

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} K_Y^2 &= N_{Y|X}^2 \\ &= (-K_Z).E^3 \\ &= (\mathcal{O}_Z(4) + p^*h).(\mathcal{O}_Z(1) - p^*h)^3 \\ &= 4c_1(\mathcal{E}) - 12 + 1 \\ &= -7. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we arrive at

$$k = 16.$$

Since $-K_Z = \phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(5) - E'$ the characterization of $\phi|Y$ as a blowup shows, that X' is a quintic in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with 16 double points on a linearly embedded $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$.

(iv): In the last case $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, obviously $\mathcal{E} = 4\mathcal{O}$ and hence $Z = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$. Therefore we may use Theorem 4.9 and get that E consists of 64 curves $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. $\square$

References

- [Bo89] Borcea, C.: Homogeneous vector Bundles and Families of Calabi-Yau Threefolds. II, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. **52**, 1991, Part 2, p.83-91
- [Cl83] Clemens, C. H.: Double Solids, Adv. in Math. **47**, 107-230, 1983
- [CS99] Cynk, S., Szemberg, T.: Double covers of $\mathbb{P}^3$ and Calabi-Yau varieties, math.AG/9902057, 1999
- [Cy99] Cynk, S.: Double coverings of octic arrangements with isolated singularities, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3**, No.2, 217-225, 1999
- [Gr97] Gross, M.: Primitive Calabi-Yau threefolds, Journal of Differential Geometry **45**, 288-318 (1997)
- [Ha77] Hartshorne, R.: Algebraic Geometry, Springer 1977
- [KMM87] Kawamata, Y., Matsuda, K., Matsuki, K.: Introduction to the Minimal Model Problem, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **10**, 1987, 283-360
- [Kü01a] Kühnel, M.: Über gewisse Calabi-Yau-3-faltigkeiten mit Picardzahl $\rho(X) = 2$, doctoral thesis, Bayreuth 2001
- [Kü01b] Kühnel, M.: Calabi-Yau-3-folds with Picard number $\rho(X) = 2$ and their Kähler cone I, math.AG/0110054
- [Og93] Oguiso, K.: On algebraic fiber space structures on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, Int. J. of Math. **4**, 439-465 (1993)

- [OP98] Oguiso, K., Peternell, T.: On Calabi-Yau threefolds with positive second Chern class, *Commun. Anal. Geom.* 6, No.1, 153-172 (1998)
- [OSS88] Okonek, Ch., Schneider, M., Spindler H.: *Vector bundles on Complex Projective Spaces*, Boston (1988)
- [Wi94a] Wilson, P.M.H.: The existence of elliptic fibre space structures on Calabi-Yau threefolds, *Math. Ann.* **300**, No.4, 693-703 (1994)
- [Wi94b] Wilson, P.M.H.: Minimal models of Calabi-Yau threefolds, in: Ciliberto, C. (Hrsg.): *Classification of algebraic varieties, Algebraic Geometry Conference on Classification of Algebraic Varieties (1992, L'Aquila)*, Contemporary Mathematics Vol. **162** Providence, RI, 1994